

Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

Chapter 12. Classes

Programming with objects directly is great for encapsulation, but one of the main goals of object-oriented programming is code reuse through inheritance. For inheritance, we need to introduce *classes*. In object-oriented programming, a class is a "recipe" for creating objects. The recipe can be changed by adding new methods and fields, or it can be changed by modifying existing methods.

OCAML CLASSES

In OCaml, class definitions must be defined as toplevel statements in a module. The syntax for a class definition uses the keyword class:

```
# class istack = object
    val mutable v = [0; 2]
    method pop =
      match v with
       | hd :: tl ->
           v <- tl;
           Some hd
      | [] -> None
    method push hd =
      v <- hd :: v
 class istack :
   object
     val mutable v : int list
     method pop : int option
     method push : int -> unit
OCaml Utop * classes/istack.topscript * all code
```

The class istack: object... end result shows that we have created a class istack with class type object... end. Like module types, class types are completely separate from regular OCaml types (e.g., int, string, and list) and, in particular, should not be confused with object types (e.g., < get: int; ... >). The class type describes the class itself rather than the objects that the class creates. This particular class type specifies that the istack class defines a mutable field v, a method pop that returns an int option, and a method push with type int -> unit.

To produce an object, classes are instantiated with the keyword new:

```
# let s = new istack ;;
val s : istack = <obj>
# s#pop ;;
- : int option = Some 0
# s#push 5 ;;
- : unit = ()
# s#pop ;;
- : int option = Some 5
OCaml Utop * classes/istack.topscript , continued (part 1) * all code
```

You may have noticed that the object s has been given the type <code>istack</code>. But wait, we've stressed classes are not types, so what's up with that? In fact, what we've said is entirely true: classes and class names are not types. However, for convenience, the definition of the class <code>istack</code> also defines an object type <code>istack</code> with the same methods as the class. This type definition is equivalent to:

```
# type istack = < pop: int option; push: int -> unit > ;;
type istack = < pop: int option; push: int -> unit >
OCaml Utop * classes/istack.topscript, continued (part 2) * all code
```

Note that this type represents any object with these methods: objects created using the <code>istack</code> class will have this type, but objects with this type may not have been created by the <code>istack</code> class.



Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques
- III. The Runtime System Index

CLASS PARAMETERS AND POLYMORPHISM

A class definition serves as the *constructor* for the class. In general, a class definition may have parameters that must be provided as arguments when the object is created with new.

Let's implement a variant of the istack class that can hold any values, not just integers. When defining the class, the type parameters are placed in square brackets before the class name in the class definition. We also add a parameter init for the initial contents of the stack:

```
# class ['a] stack init = object
    val mutable v : 'a list = init
    method pop =
      match v with
      | hd :: tl ->
         v <- tl;
         Some hd
      | [] -> None
    method push hd =
      v <- hd :: v
  end ;;
 class ['a] stack :
    'a list ->
   object
     val mutable v : 'a list
     method pop : 'a option
     method push : 'a -> unit
   end
OCaml Utop * classes/stack.topscript * all code
```

Note that the type parameter ['a] in the definition uses square brackets, but for other uses of the type they are omitted (or replaced with parentheses if there is more than one type parameter).

The type annotation on the declaration of v is used to constrain type inference. If we omit this annotation, the type inferred for the class will be "too polymorphic": init could have some type 'b list:

```
# class ['a] stack init = object
      val mutable v = init
      method pop =
         match v with
         | hd :: tl ->
           v <- tl;
           Some hd
         | [] -> None
      method push hd =
         v <- hd :: v
  end ::
 Characters 6-16:
 Error: Some type variables are unbound in this type:
           class ['a] stack :
             'b list ->
             object
               val\ mutable\ v : 'b list
               method pop : 'b option
               method push : 'b -> unit
             end
         The method pop has type 'b option where 'b is unbound
OCaml Utop * classes/stack.topscript , continued (part 1) * all code
```

In general, we need to provide enough constraints so that the compiler will infer the correct type. We can add type constraints to the parameters, to the fields, and to the methods. It is a matter of preference how many constraints to add. You can add type constraints in all three places, but the extra text may not help clarity. A convenient middle ground is to annotate the fields and/or class parameters, and add constraints to methods only if necessary.

OBJECT TYPES AS INTERFACES

We may wish to traverse the elements on our stack. One common style for doing this in object-oriented languages is to define a class for an iterator object. An iterator provides a generic mechanism to inspect and traverse the elements of a collection.




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

There are two common styles for defining abstract interfaces like this. In Java, an iterator would normally be specified with an interface, which specifies a set of method types:

```
// Java-style iterator, specified as an interface.
interface <T> iterator {
   T Get();
   boolean HasValue();
   void Next();
};
Java * classes/Iterator.java * all code
```

In languages without interfaces, like C++, the specification would normally use *abstract* classes to specify the methods without implementing them (C++ uses the "= 0" definition to mean "not implemented"):

```
// Abstract class definition in C++.
template<typename T>
class Iterator {
  public:
    virtual ~Iterator() {}
    virtual T get() const = 0;
    virtual bool has_value() const = 0;
    virtual void next() = 0;
};
C * classes/citerator.cpp * all code
```

OCaml supports both styles. In fact, OCaml is more flexible than these approaches because an object type can be implemented by any object with the appropriate methods; it does not have to be specified by the object's class *a priori*. We'll leave abstract classes for later. Let's demonstrate the technique using object types.

First, we'll define an object type iterator that specifies the methods in an iterator:

```
# type 'a iterator = < get : 'a; has_value : bool; next : unit > ;;
type 'a iterator = < get : 'a; has_value : bool; next : unit >
OCaml Utop * classes/iter.topscript * all code
```

Next, we'll define an actual iterator for lists. We can use this to iterate over the contents of our stack:

```
# class ['a] list_iterator init = object
    val mutable current : 'a list = init
    method has_value = current <> []
    method get =
      match current with
        hd :: tl -> hd
       | [] -> raise (Invalid_argument "no value")
    method next =
      match current with
       | hd :: tl -> current <- tl
       [] -> raise (Invalid_argument "no value")
   end ;;
 class ['a] list_iterator :
   'a list ->
   object
     val mutable current : 'a list
     method get : 'a
     method has_value : bool
     method next : unit
   end
OCaml Utop * classes/iter.topscript , continued (part 1) * all code
```

Finally, we add a method iterator to the stack class to produce an iterator. To do so, we construct a list iterator that refers to the current contents of the stack:

```
# class ['a] stack init = object
  val mutable v : 'a list = init

method pop =
  match v with
  | hd :: tl ->
      v <- tl;</pre>
```




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes

Index

II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System

```
Some hd
| [] -> None

method push hd =
    v <- hd :: v

method iterator : 'a iterator =
    new list_iterator v
end ;;

class ['a] stack :
    'a list ->
    object
    val mutable v : 'a list
    method iterator : 'a iterator
    method opp : 'a option
    method push : 'a -> unit
end

OCaml Utop * classes/iter.topscript, continued (part 2) * all code
```

Now we can build a new stack, push some values to it, and iterate over them:

```
# let s = new stack [] ;;
 val s : '_a stack = <obj>
# s#push 5 ;;
 - : unit = ()
# s#push 4 ;;
 - : unit = ()
# let it = s#iterator ;;
 val it : int iterator = <obj>
# it#get ;;
 -: int = 4
# it#next ;;
 - : unit = ()
# it#get ;;
 - : int = 5
# it#next ;;
- : unit = ()
# it#has_value ;;
 - : bool = false
OCaml Utop * classes/iter.topscript , continued (part 3) * all code
```

Functional Iterators

In practice, most OCaml programmers avoid iterator objects in favor of functional-style techniques. For example, the alternative stack class that follows takes a function f and applies it to each of the elements on the stack:

```
# class ['a] stack init = object
    val mutable v : 'a list = init
    method pop =
      match v with
       | hd :: tl ->
         v <- tl;
         Some hd
       [] -> None
    method push hd =
      v <- hd :: v
   method iter f =
     List.iter ~f v
  end ;;
 class ['a] stack :
    'a list ->
   object
     val mutable v : 'a list
     method iter : ('a -> unit) -> unit
     method pop : 'a option
     method push : 'a -> unit
OCaml Utop * classes/iter.topscript , continued (part 4) * all code
```

What about functional operations like map and fold? In general, these methods take a function that produces a value of some other type than the elements of the set.



Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

For example, a fold method for our ['a] stack class should have type ('b -> 'a -> 'b) -> 'b -> 'b, where the 'b is polymorphic. To express a polymorphic method type like this, we must use a type quantifier, as shown in the following example:

```
# class ['a] stack init = object
     val mutable v : 'a list = init
     method pop =
       match v with
        | hd :: tl ->
          v <- tl;
          Some hd
        | [] -> None
     method push hd =
       v <- hd :: v
     method fold : 'b. ('b -> 'a -> 'b) -> 'b -> 'b =
      (fun f init -> List.fold ~f ~init v)
  end ;;
 class ['a] stack :
    'a list ->
   object
      val mutable v : 'a list
      method fold : ('b \rightarrow 'a \rightarrow 'b) \rightarrow 'b \rightarrow 'b method pop : 'a option
      method push : 'a -> unit
   end
OCaml Utop * classes/iter.topscript , continued (part 5) * all code
```

The type quantifier 'b. can be read as "for all 'b." Type quantifiers can only be used *directly after* the method name, which means that method parameters must be expressed using a fun or function expression.

INHERITANCE

Inheritance uses an existing class to define a new one. For example, the following class definition inherits from our stack class for strings and adds a new method print that prints all the strings on the stack:

```
# class sstack init = object
    inherit [string] stack init

method print =
    List.iter ~f:print_string v
end;;

class sstack :
    string list ->
    object
    val mutable v : string list
    method pop : string option
    method print : unit
    method push : string -> unit
end

OCaml Utop * classes/stack.topscript , continued (part 2) * all code
```

A class can override methods from classes it inherits. For example, this class creates stacks of integers that double the integers before they are pushed onto the stack:

```
# class double_stack init = object
    inherit [int] stack init as super

method push hd =
    super#push (hd * 2)
end ;;
class double_stack :
    int list ->
    object
    val mutable v : int list
    method pop : int option
    method push : int -> unit
    end

OCaml Utop * classes/stack.topscript , continued (part 3) * all code
```




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

The preceding as super statement creates a special object called super which can be used to call superclass methods. Note that super is not a real object and can only be used to call methods.

CLASS TYPES

To allow code in a different file or module to inherit from a class, we must expose it and give it a class type. What is the class type?

As an example, let's wrap up our stack class in an explicit module (we'll use explicit modules for illustration, but the process is similar when we want to define a .mli file). In keeping with the usual style for modules, we define a type 'a t to represent the type of our stacks:

```
module Stack = struct
  class ['a] stack init = object
    ...
  end

  type 'a t = 'a stack

  let make init = new stack init
  end

OCaml * classes/class_types_stack.ml * all code
```

We have multiple choices in defining the module type, depending on how much of the implementation we want to expose. At one extreme, a maximally abstract signature would completely hide the class definitions:

```
module AbstractStack : sig
   type 'a t = < pop: 'a option; push: 'a -> unit >

   val make : unit -> 'a t
end = Stack

OCaml * classes/class_types_stack.ml , continued (part 1) * all code
```

The abstract signature is simple because we ignore the classes. But what if we want to include them in the signature so that other modules can inherit from the class definitions? For this, we need to specify types for the classes, called *class types*.

Class types do not appear in mainstream object-oriented programming languages, so you may not be familiar with them, but the concept is pretty simple. A class type specifies the type of each of the visible parts of the class, including both fields and methods. Just as with module types, you don't have to give a type for everything; anything you omit will be hidden:

```
module VisibleStack : sig

type 'a t = < pop: 'a option; push: 'a -> unit >

class ['a] stack : object
  val mutable v : 'a list
  method pop : 'a option
  method push : 'a -> unit
end

val make : unit -> 'a t
end = Stack

OCaml * classes/class_types_stack.ml, continued (part 2) * all code
```


In this signature, we've chosen to make everything visible. The class type for stack specifies the types of the field v, as well as the types of each of the methods.

OPEN RECURSION

Open recursion allows an object's methods to invoke other methods on the same object. These calls are looked up dynamically, allowing a method in one class to call a method from another class, if both classes are inherited by the same object. This allows mutually recursive parts of an object to be defined separately.

This ability to define mutually recursive methods from separate components is a key feature of classes: achieving similar functionality with data types or modules is much more cumbersome and verbose.

For example, consider writing recursive functions over a simple document format. This format is represented as a tree with three different types of node:



Buy in print and eBook.

Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

```
type doc =
    | Heading of string
    | Paragraph of text_item list
    | Definition of string list_item list

and text_item =
    | Raw of string
    | Bold of text_item list
    | Enumerate of int list_item list
    | Quote of doc

and 'a list_item =
    { tag: 'a;
        text: text_item list }

OCaml * classes/doc.ml * all code
```

It is quite easy to write a function that operates by recursively traversing this data. However, what if you need to write many similar recursive functions? How can you factor out the common parts of these functions to avoid repetitive boilerplate?

The simplest way is to use classes and open recursion. For example, the following class defines objects that fold over the document data:

The object (self) syntax binds self to the current object, allowing the doc, list_item, and text_item methods to call each other.

By inheriting from this class, we can create functions that fold over the document data. For example, the <code>count_doc</code> function counts the number of bold tags in the document that are not within a list:

```
class counter = object
  inherit [int] folder as super

method list_item acc li = acc

method text_item acc ti =
  let acc = super#text_item acc ti in
  match ti with
  | Bold _ -> acc + 1
  | _ -> acc
end

let count_doc = (new counter)#doc

OCaml * classes/doc.ml , continued (part 2) * all code
```

Note how the super special object is used in text_item to call the [int] folder class's text_item method to fold over the children of the text_item node.

PRIVATE METHODS

Methods can be declared *private*, which means that they may be called by subclasses, but they are not visible otherwise (similar to a *protected* method in C++).

For example, we may want to include methods in our folder class for handling each of the different cases in doc and text_item. However, we may not want to force subclasses of folder to expose these methods, as they probably shouldn't be called directly:




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques
- III. The Runtime System Index

```
class ['a] folder2 = object(self)
  method doc acc = function
    Heading str -> self#heading acc str
    Paragraph text -> self#paragraph acc text
  | Definition list -> self#definition acc list
  method list_item: 'b. 'a -> 'b list_item -> 'a =
    fun acc {tag; text} ->
      List.fold ~f:self#text_item ~init:acc text
  method text_item acc = function
    Raw str -> self#raw acc str
    Bold text -> self#bold acc text
    Enumerate list -> self#enumerate acc list
  | Quote doc -> self#quote acc doc
  method private heading acc str = acc
  method private paragraph acc text =
    List.fold ~f:self#text item ~init:acc text
  method private definition acc list =
    List.fold ~f:self#list_item ~init:acc list
  method private raw acc str = acc
  method private bold acc text =
    List.fold ~f:self#text_item ~init:acc text
  method private enumerate acc list =
    List.fold ~f:self#list_item ~init:acc list
  method private quote acc doc = self#doc acc doc
end
let f:
  < doc : int -> doc -> int;
    list_item : 'a . int -> 'a list_item -> int;
    text_item : int -> text_item -> int > = new folder2
OCaml * classes/doc.ml , continued (part 3) * all code
```

The final statement that builds the value f shows how the instantiation of a folder2 object has a type that hides the private methods.

To be precise, the private methods are part of the class type, but not part of the object type. This means, for example, that the object f has no method bold. However, the private methods are available to subclasses: we can use them to simplify our counter class:

```
class counter_with_private_method = object
  inherit [int] folder2 as super

method list_item acc li = acc

method private bold acc txt =
  let acc = super#bold acc txt in
  acc + 1
end

OCamI * classes/doc.mI, continued (part 4) * all code
```

The key property of private methods is that they are visible to subclasses, but not anywhere else. If you want the stronger guarantee that a method is *really* private, not even accessible in subclasses, you can use an explicit class type that omits the method. In the following code, the private methods are explicitly omitted from the class type of <code>counter_with_sig</code> and can't be invoked in subclasses of <code>counter_with_sig</code>:

```
class counter_with_sig : object
  method doc : int -> doc -> int
  method list_item : int -> 'b list_item -> int
  method text_item : int -> text_item -> int
end = object
  inherit [int] folder2 as super

method list_item acc li = acc

method private bold acc txt =
  let acc = super#bold acc txt in
  acc + 1
end

OCaml * classes/doc.ml , continued (part 5) * all code
```

BINARY METHODS



Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

A *binary method* is a method that takes an object of self type. One common example is defining a method for equality:

```
# class square w = object(self : 'self)
    method width = w
    method area = Float.of_int (self#width * self#width)
    method equals (other : 'self) = other#width = self#width
  end ;;
 class sauare :
   int ->
  object ('a)
     method area : float
     method equals : 'a -> bool
     method width : int
# class circle r = object(self : 'self)
    method radius = n
    method area = 3.14 *. (Float.of_int self#radius) ** 2.0
    method equals (other : 'self) = other#radius = self#radius
  end ;;
 class circle:
   int ->
  object ('a)
     method area : float
     method equals : 'a -> bool
     method radius : int
OCaml Utop * classes/binary.topscript * all code
```

Note how we can use the type annotation (self: 'self) to obtain the type of the current object.

We can now test different object instances for equality by using the equals binary method:

```
# (new square 5)#equals (new square 5);;
- : bool = true
# (new circle 10)#equals (new circle 7);;
- : bool = false
OCaml Utop * classes/binary.topscript, continued (part 1) * all code
```

This works, but there is a problem lurking here. The method equals takes an object of the exact type square or circle. Because of this, we can't define a common base class shape that also includes an equality method:

```
# type shape = < equals : shape -> bool; area : float > ;;
type shape = < area : float; equals : shape -> bool >
# (new square 5 :> shape) ;;
Characters -1-23:
Error: Type square = < area : float; equals : square -> bool; width : int >
        is not a subtype of shape = < area : float; equals : shape -> bool >
        Type shape = < area : float; equals : shape -> bool >
        is not a subtype of
        is not a subtype of
        square = < area : float; equals : square -> bool; width : int >

OCaml Utop * classes/binary.topscript , continued (part 2) * all code
```

The problem is that a square expects to be compared with a square, not an arbitrary shape; likewise for circle. This problem is fundamental. Many languages solve it either with narrowing (with dynamic type checking), or by method overloading. Since OCaml has neither of these, what can we do?

Since the problematic method is equality, one proposal we could consider is to just drop it from the base type shape and use polymorphic equality instead. However, the built-in polymorphic equality has very poor behavior when applied to objects:

```
# (object method area = 5 end) = (object method area = 5 end) ;;
- : bool = false
OCaml Utop * classes/binary.topscript, continued (part 3) * all code
```

The problem here is that two objects are considered equal by the built-in polymorphic equality if and only if they are physically equal. There are other reasons not to use the built-in polymorphic equality, but these false negatives are a showstopper.

If we want to define equality for shapes in general, the remaining solution is to use the same approach as we described for narrowing. That is, introduce a *representation* type implemented




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

using variants, and implement the comparison based on the representation type:

```
# type shape_repr =
    Square of int
  | Circle of int ;;
 type shape_repr = Square of int | Circle of int
# type shape =
  < repr : shape_repr; equals : shape -> bool; area : float > ;;
 type shape = < area : float; equals : shape -> bool; repr : shape_repr >
# class square w = object(self)
    method width = w
    method area = Float.of_int (self#width * self#width)
    method repr = Square self#width
    method equals (other : shape) = other#repr = self#repr
  end ;;
 class square :
   int ->
   obiect
     method area : float
     method equals : shape -> bool
     method repr : shape_repr
     method width : int
   end
OCaml Utop * classes/binary.topscript , continued (part 4) * all code
```

The binary method equals is now implemented in terms of the concrete type <code>shape_repr</code>. When using this pattern, you will not be able to hide the <code>repr</code> method, but you can hide the type definition using the module system:

```
module Shapes : sig
  type shape repr
  type shape =
    < repr : shape_repr; equals : shape -> bool; area: float >
  class square : int ->
    object
      method width : int
      method area : float
      method repr : shape_repr
      method equals : shape -> bool
    end
end = struct
  type shape repr =
    Square of int
  | Circle of int
end
OCaml * classes/binary_module.ml * all code
```

Note that this solution prevents us from adding new kinds of shapes without adding new constructors to the <code>shape_repr</code> type, which is quite restrictive. The objects created by these classes are also in one-to-one correspondence with members of the representation type, making the objects seem somewhat redundant.

However, equality is quite an extreme instance of a binary method: it needs access to all the information of the other object. Many other binary methods need only partial information about the object. For instance, a method that compares shapes by their sizes:

```
class square w = object(self)
  method width = w
  method area = Float.of_int (self#width * self#width)
  method larger other = self#area > other#area
end

OCaml * classes/binary_larger.ml * all code
```

In this case, there is no one-to-one correspondence between the objects and their sizes, and we can still easily define new kinds of shape.

VIRTUAL CLASSES AND METHODS

A *virtual* class is a class where some methods or fields are declared but not implemented. This should not be confused with the word virtual as it is used in C++. A virtual method in C++ uses dynamic dispatch, while regular, nonvirtual methods are statically dispatched. In OCaml, *all* methods use dynamic dispatch, but the keyword virtual means that the method or field is not




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

implemented. A class containing virtual methods must also be flagged virtual and cannot be directly instantiated (i.e., no object of this class can be created).

To explore this, let's extend our shapes examples to simple, interactive graphics. We will use the Async concurrency library and the Async_graphics library, which provides an asynchronous interface to OCaml's built-in Graphics library. Concurrent programming with Async will be explored later in Chapter 18, Concurrent Programming with Async; for now you can safely ignore the details. You just need to run opam install async_graphics to get the library installed on your system.

We will give each shape a draw method that describes how to draw the shape on the Async graphics display:

```
open Core.Std
open Async.Std
open Async_graphics

type drawable = < draw: unit >
OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml * all code
```

Create Some Simple Shapes

Now let's add classes for making squares and circles. We include an on_click method for adding event handlers to the shapes:

```
class square w x y = object(self)
  val mutable x: int = x
  method x = x
  val mutable y: int = y
  method y = y
  val mutable width = w
  method width = width
  method draw = fill_rect x y width width
  method private contains x' y' =
    x <= x' && x' <= x + width &&
      y \leftarrow y' \&\& y' \leftarrow y + width
  method on_click ?start ?stop f =
    on_click ?start ?stop
       (fun ev ->
          if self#contains ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y then
            f ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y)
OCaml * classes-async/verbose_shapes.ml , continued (part 1) * all code
```

The square class is pretty straightforward, and the circle class below also looks very similar:

```
class circle r x y = object(self)
  val mutable x: int = x
  method x = x
  val mutable y: int = y
  method y = y
  val mutable radius = r
  method radius = radius
  method draw = fill circle x y radius
  method private contains x' y' =
    let dx = abs (x' - x) in
let dy = abs (y' - y) in
    let dist = sqrt (Float.of_int ((dx * dx) + (dy * dy))) in
      dist <= (Float.of_int radius)</pre>
  method on_click ?start ?stop f =
    on click ?start ?stop
      (fun ev ->
          if self#contains ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y then
            f ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y)
OCaml * classes-async/verbose_shapes.ml , continued (part 2) * all code
```



Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques
- III. The Runtime System Index

These classes have a lot in common, and it would be useful to factor out this common functionality into a superclass. We can easily move the definitions of x and y into a superclass, but what about on_click? Its definition depends on contains, which has a different definition in each class. The solution is to create a *virtual* class. This class will declare a contains method but leave its definition to the subclasses.

Here is the more succinct definition, starting with a virtual shape class that implements on click and on mousedown:

```
class virtual shape x y = object(self)
  method virtual private contains: int -> int -> bool
  val mutable x: int = x
  method x = x
  val mutable y: int = y
  method y = y
  method on_click ?start ?stop f =
    on click ?start ?stop
      (fun ev ->
         if self#contains ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y then
           f ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y)
  method on_mousedown ?start ?stop f =
    on mousedown ?start ?stop
      (fun ev ->
         if self#contains ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y then
           f ev.mouse_x ev.mouse_y)
end
OCaml * classes-asvnc/shapes.ml . continued (part 1) * all code
```

Now we can define square and circle by inheriting from shape:

```
class square w x y = object
  inherit shape x y
  val mutable width = w
  method width = width
  method draw = fill_rect x y width width
  method private contains x' y' =
     x <= x' && x' <= x + width &&
y <= y' && y' <= y + width
end
class circle r x y = object
  inherit shape x y
  val mutable radius = r
  method radius = radius
  method draw = fill_circle x y radius
  method private contains x' y' =
     let dx = abs (x' - x) in
let dy = abs (y' - y) in
     let dist = sqrt (Float.of_int ((dx * dx) + (dy * dy))) in
     dist <= (Float.of_int radius)</pre>
{\sf OCamI} * {\sf classes\text{-}async/shapes.ml} \ , \ {\sf continued} \ ({\sf part} \ 2) * {\sf all} \ {\sf code}
```

One way to view a virtual class is that it is like a functor, where the "inputs" are the declared—but not defined—virtual methods and fields. The functor application is implemented through inheritance, when virtual methods are given concrete implementations.

INITIALIZERS

You can execute expressions during the instantiation of a class by placing them before the object expression or in the initial value of a field:

```
# class obj x =
   let () = printf "Creating obj %d\n" x in
   object
   val field = printf "Initializing field\n"; x
   end ;;
```




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

```
class obj : int -> object val field : int end
# let o = new obj 3 ;;

Creating obj 3
Initializing field
val o : obj = <obj>
OCaml Utop * classes/initializer.topscript * all code
```

However, these expressions are executed before the object has been created and cannot refer to the methods of the object. If you need to use an object's methods during instantiation, you can use an initializer. An initializer is an expression that will be executed during instantiation but after the object has been created.

For example, suppose we wanted to extend our previous shapes module with a <code>growing_circle</code> class for circles that expand when clicked. We could inherit from <code>circle</code> and used the inherited on <code>click</code> to add a handler for click events:

```
class growing_circle r x y = object(self)
  inherit circle r x y

initializer
  self#on_click (fun _x _y -> radius <- radius * 2)
end

OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 3) * all code</pre>
```

MULTIPLE INHERITANCE

When a class inherits from more than one superclass, it is using *multiple inheritance*. Multiple inheritance extends the variety of ways that classes can be combined, and it can be quite useful, particularly with virtual classes. However, it can be tricky to use, particularly when the inheritance hierarchy is a graph rather than a tree, so it should be used with care.

How Names Are Resolved

The main trickiness of multiple inheritance is due to naming—what happens when a method or field with some name is defined in more than one class?

If there is one thing to remember about inheritance in OCaml, it is this: inheritance is like textual inclusion. If there is more than one definition for a name, the last definition wins.

For example, consider this class, which inherits from square and defines a new draw method that uses draw rect instead of fill rect to draw the square:

```
class square_outline w x y = object
  inherit square w x y
  method draw = draw_rect x y width width
end

OCaml * classes-async/multiple_inheritance.ml , continued (part 1) * all code
```

Since the inherit declaration comes before the method definition, the new draw method overrides the old one, and the square is drawn using draw_rect. But, what if we had defined square_outline as follows?

```
class square_outline w x y = object
  method draw = draw_rect x y w w
  inherit square w x y
end

OCaml * classes-async/multiple_inheritance_wrong.ml , continued (part 1) * all code
```

Here the inherit declaration comes after the method definition, so the draw method from square will override the other definition, and the square will be drawn using fill rect.

To reiterate, to understand what inheritance means, replace each inherit directive with its definition, and take the last definition of each method or field. Note that the methods and fields added by an inheritance are those listed in its class type, so private methods that are hidden by the type will not be included.

Mixins




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

When should you use multiple inheritance? If you ask multiple people, you're likely to get multiple (perhaps heated) answers. Some will argue that multiple inheritance is overly complicated; others will argue that inheritance is problematic in general, and one should use object composition instead. But regardless of who you talk to, you will rarely hear that multiple inheritance is great and that you should use it widely.

In any case, if you're programming with objects, there's one general pattern for multiple inheritance that is both useful and reasonably simple: the *mixin* pattern. Generically, a *mixin* is just a virtual class that implements a feature based on another one. If you have a class that implements methods *A*, and you have a mixin *M* that provides methods *B* from *A*, then you can inherit from *M*—"mixing" it in—to get features *B*.

That's too abstract, so let's give some examples based on our interactive shapes. We may wish to allow a shape to be dragged by the mouse. We can define this functionality for any object that has mutable x and y fields and an on mousedown method for adding event handlers:

```
class virtual draggable = object(self)
  method virtual on mousedown:
    ?start:unit Deferred.t ->
    ?stop:unit Deferred.t ->
    (int -> int -> unit) -> unit
  val virtual mutable x: int
  val virtual mutable y: int
  val mutable dragging = false
  method dragging = dragging
  initializer
    self#on mousedown
      (fun mouse_x mouse_y ->
          let offset_x = x - mouse_x in
          let offset_y = y - mouse_y in
          let mouse_up = Ivar.create () in
          let stop = Ivar.read mouse_up in
          dragging <- true;</pre>
          on mouseup ~stop
            (fun _ ->
               Ivar.fill mouse_up ();
               dragging <- false);</pre>
          on mousemove ~stop
            (fun ev ->
               x <- ev.mouse x + offset x;
               y <- ev.mouse_y + offset_y))</pre>
OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 4) * all code
```

This allows us to create draggable shapes using multiple inheritance:

```
class small_square = object
  inherit square 20 40 40
  inherit draggable
end

OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 5) * all code
```

We can also use mixins to create animated shapes. Each animated shape has a list of update functions to be called during animation. We create an animated mixin to provide this update list and ensure that the functions in it are called regular intervals when the shape is animated:

```
class virtual animated span = object(self)
 method virtual on_click:
    ?start:unit Deferred.t ->
    ?stop:unit Deferred.t ->
   (int -> int -> unit) -> unit
 val mutable updates: (int -> unit) list = []
 val mutable step = 0
 val mutable running = false
 method running = running
 method animate =
    step <- 0;
    running <- true;
   let stop =
     Clock.after span
      >>| fun () -> running <- false
   Clock.every ~stop (Time.Span.of_sec (1.0 /. 24.0))
```




Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
 - 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System
- Index

We use initializers to add functions to this update list. For example, this class will produce circles that move to the right for a second when clicked:

```
class my_circle = object
  inherit circle 20 50 50
  inherit animated Time.Span.second
  initializer updates <- [fun _ -> x <- x + 5]
end
OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 7) * all code</pre>
```

These initializers can also be added using mixins:

```
class virtual linear x' y' = object
  val virtual mutable updates: (int -> unit) list
  val virtual mutable x: int
  val virtual mutable y: int
  initializer
    let update _
      x \leftarrow x + x';
      y <- y + y'
    in
    updates <- update :: updates
end
let pi = (atan 1.0) *. 4.0
class virtual harmonic offset x' y' = object
  val virtual mutable updates: (int -> unit) list
  val virtual mutable x: int
  val virtual mutable y: int
  initializer
    let update step =
      let m = sin (offset +. ((Float.of_int step) *. (pi /. 64.))) in
      let x' = Float.to_int (m *. Float.of_int x') in
      let y' = Float.to_int (m *. Float.of_int y') in
      x <- x + x';
      y < -y + y'
    in
    updates <- update :: updates
OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 8) * all code
```

Since the linear and harmonic mixins are only used for their side effects, they can be inherited multiple times within the same object to produce a variety of different animations:

```
class my_square x y = object
   inherit square 40 x y
   inherit draggable
   inherit animated (Time.Span.of_int_sec 5)
   inherit linear 5 0
   inherit harmonic 0.0 7 ~-10
end

let my_circle = object
   inherit circle 30 250 250
   inherit animated (Time.Span.minute)
   inherit harmonic 0.0 10 0
   inherit harmonic (pi /. 2.0) 0 10
end

OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 9) * all code
```

Displaying the Animated Shapes

We finish our shapes module by creating a main function to draw some shapes on the graphical display and running that function using the Async scheduler:



Table of Contents

Prologue

- I. Language Concepts
- 1. A Guided Tour
- 2. Variables and Functions
- 3. Lists and Patterns
- 4. Files, Modules, and Programs
- 5. Records
- 6. Variants
- 7. Error Handling
- 8. Imperative Programming
- 9. Functors
- 10. First-Class Modules
- 11. Objects
- 12. Classes
- II. Tools and Techniques III. The Runtime System Index

```
let main () =
  let shapes = [
     (my_circle :> drawable);
     (new my_square 50 350 :> drawable);
     (new my_square 50 200 :> drawable);
     (new growing_circle 20 70 70 :> drawable);
  ] in
  let repaint () =
    clear_graph ();
    List.iter ~f:(fun s -> s#draw) shapes;
    synchronize ()
    open_graph "";
    auto_synchronize false;
    Clock.every (Time.Span.of_sec (1.0 /. 24.0)) repaint
let () = never_returns (Scheduler.go_main ~main ())
OCaml * classes-async/shapes.ml , continued (part 10) * all code
```

Our main function creates a list of shapes to be displayed and defines a repaint function that actually draws them on the display. We then open a graphical display and ask Async to run repaint at regular intervals.

Finally, build the binary by linking against the async_graphics package, which will pull in all the other dependencies:

```
$ corebuild -pkg async_graphics shapes.native
Terminal * classes-async/build_shapes.out * all code
```

When you run the binary, a new graphical window should appear (on Mac OS X, you will need to install the X11 package first, which you will be prompted for). Try clicking on the various widgets, and gasp in awe at the sophisticated animations that unfold as a result.

The graphics library described here is the one built into OCaml and is more useful as a learning tool than anything else. There are several third-party libraries that provide more sophisticated bindings to various graphics subsystems:

Lablgtk

A strongly typed interface to the GTK widget library.

LablGI

An interface between OCaml and OpenGL, a widely supported standard for 3D rendering.

js_of_ocaml

Compiles OCaml code to JavaScript and has bindings to WebGL. This is the emerging standard for 3D rendering in web browsers.

< Previous Next >

Copyright 2012-2013, Jason Hickey, Anil Madhavapeddy and Yaron Minsky. Licensed under CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 US.