Interactive Data Visualization

80

General Rules for Exploratory Data Analysis



IDV 2017/2018

Notice

Author

João Moura Pires (jmp@fct.unl.pt)

This material can be freely used for personal or academic purposes without any previous authorization from the author, provided that this notice is kept with.

For commercial purposes the use of any part of this material requires the previous authorisation from the author.



Bibliography....



Roger D. Peng

Exploratory Data Analysis with R

Roger D. Peng



Table of Contents

General Rules for Exploratory Data Analysis



General Rules for Exploratory Data Analysis



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
 - Evidence for a hypothesis is always relatives another competing hypothesis
 - Always ask "Compared to What?"



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
 - Evidence for a hypothesis is always relatives another competing hypothesis
 - Always ask "Compared to What?"

Testing whether an **air cleaner installed in a child's home improves their asthma-related symptoms**.

This study was conducted at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine and was conducted in homes where a smoker was living for at least 4 days a week.

Each child was assessed at baseline and then 6-months later at a second visit. The aim was to improve a child's symptom-free days over the 6-month period. In this case, a higher number is better, indicating that they had *more* symptom-free days.



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
 - Evidence for a hypothesis is always relatives another competing hypothesis
 - Always ask "Compared to What?"



Reference: Butz AM, et al., JAMA Pediatrics, 2011.



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
 - Evidence for a hypothesis is always relatives another competing hypothesis
 - Always ask "Compared to What?"





- Principle 1: Show comparisons
 - Evidence for a hypothesis is always relatives another competing hypothesis
 - Always ask "Compared to What?"
- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
 - What is your causal framework for thinking about a question?



Air quality standards in the U.S. concerns the long-term average level of fine particle pollution, also referred to as PM2.5

The standard says that the "annual mean, averaged over 3 years" cannot exceed 12 micrograms per cubic meter.





- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
 - What is your causal framework for thinking about a question?





- Principle 1: Show comparisons
- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
- Principle 3: Show multivariate data
 - Multivariate = more than 2 variables
 - The real world is multivariate
 - Need to "escape flatland"





Principle 3: Show multivariate data

PM10 and mortality in New York City



Reference: Butz AM, et al., JAMA Pediatrics, 2011.





Daily mortality in New York City

Reference: Butz AM, et al., JAMA Pediatrics, 2011.







Reference: Butz AM, et al., JAMA Pediatrics, 2011.





There is a **slight positive** relationship between the two variables in each season



PM10 and mortality in New York City by season



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
- Principle 3: Show multivariate data
- Principle 4: Integration of evidence
 - Completely integrate words, numbers, images, diagrams
 - Data graphics should make use of many modes of data presentation
 - Don't let the tool drive the analysis



Principle 4: Integration of evidence

Figure 2. Percentage Change in Emergency Hospital Admissions Rate for Cardiovascular Diseases per a 10-µg/m³ Increase in Particulate Matter



Estimates are on average across 108 counties. PM_{2.5} indicates particulate matter is 2.5 µm or less in aerodynamic diameter; PM₁₀, particulate matter is 10 µm or less in aerodynamic diameter; PM_{10-2.5}, particulate matter is greater than 2.5 µm and 10 µm or less in aerodynamic diameter; RR, relative risk. Error bars indicate 95% posterior intervals.



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
- Principle 3: Show multivariate data
- Principle 4: Integration of evidence
- Principle 5: Describe and document the evidence with appropriate labels, scales, sources



Principle 5: Describe and document the evidence with appropriate labels,

scales, sources





- Principle 1: Show comparisons
- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
- Principle 3: Show multivariate data
- Principle 4: Integration of evidence
- Principle 5: Describe and document the evidence with appropriate labels, scales, sources
- Principle 6: Content is King
 - Analytical presentations ultimately stand or fall depending on the quality, relevance, and integrity of their content.



- Principle 1: Show comparisons
- Principle 2: Show causality, mechanism, explanation, systematic structure
- Principle 3: Show multivariate data
- Principle 4: Integration of evidence
- Principle 5: Describe and document the evidence with appropriate labels, scales, sources
- Principle 6: Content is King

Edward Tufte (2006). *Beautiful Evidence*, Graphics Press LLC. www.edwardtufte.com

Reference: Butz AM, et al., JAMA Pediatrics, 2011.



Interactive Data Visualization

Further Reading and Summary



Further Reading

- Exploratory Data Analysis with R, by Roger D. Peng
 - Chapters 5 Exploratory Data Analysis Checklist
 - Chapter 6 Principles of Analytic Graphics
- Optionally
 - Chapter 7 Exploratory Graphs

