Chapter 15 -- performance features

Architectural Features used to enhance performance _____ What is a "better" computer? What is the "best" computer? The factors involved are generally cost and performance. COST FACTORS: cost of hardware design cost of software design (OS, applications) cost of manufacture cost to end purchaser **PERFORMANCE FACTORS:** what programs will be run? how frequently will they be run? how big are the programs? how many users? how sophisticated are the users? what I/O devices are necessary? (this chapter discusses ways of increasing performance) There are two ways to make computers go faster.

- Wait a year. Implement in a faster/better/newer technology. More transistors will fit on a single chip. More pins can be placed around the IC. The process used will have electronic devices (transistors) that switch faster.
- new/innovative architectures and architectural features, and clever implementations of existing architectures.

MEMORY HIERARCHIES

Known in current technologies: the time to access data from memory is an order of magnitude greater than a processor operation. (And note that this has been true for well more than a decade.)

For example: if a 32-bit 2's complement addition takes 1 time unit, then a load of a 32-bit word takes about 10 time units.

Since every instruction takes at least one memory access (for the instruction fetch), the performance of computer is dominated by its memory access time.

(to try to help this difficulty, we have load/store architectures, where most instructions take operands only from memory. We also try to have fixed size, SMALL size, instructions.)

what we really want: very fast memory -- of the same speed as the CPU very large capacity -- 512 Mbytes low cost -- \$50 these are mutually incompatible. The faster the memory, the more expensive it becomes. The larger the amount of memory, the slower it becomes. What we can do is to compromise. Take advantage of the fact (fact, by looking at many real programs) that memory accesses are not random. They tend to exhibit LOCALITY. LOCALITY -- nearby. 2 kinds: Locality in time (temporal locality) if data has been referenced recently, it is likely to be referenced again (soon!). example: the instructions with in a loop. The loop is likely to be executed more than once. Therefore, each instruction gets referenced repeatedly in a short period of time. example: The top of stack is repeatedly referenced within a program. Locality in space (spatial locality) if data has been referenced recently, then data nearby (in memory) is likely to be referenced soon. example: array access. The elements of an array are neighbors in memory, and are likely to be referenced one after the other. example: instruction streams. Instructions are located in memory next to each other. Our model for program execution says that unless the PC is explicitly changed (like a control instruction) sequential instructions are fetched and executed. We can use these tendencies to advantage by keeping likely to be referenced (soon) data in a faster memory than main memory. This faster memory is called a CACHE. processor-cache <----> memory It is located very close to the processor. It contains COPIES of PARTS of memory.

A standard way of accessing memory, for a system with a cache: (The programmer doesn't see or know about any of this)

memory access (for an instruction fetch or to get operands
or to write results) goes to the cache.
If the data is in the cache, then we have a HIT.
The data is returned to to the processor (from the cache),

and the memory access is completed. If the data is not in the cache, then we have a MISS. The memory access is then sent on to main memory.

On average, the time to do a memory access is

= cache access time + (% misses * memory access time)

This average (mean) access time will change for each program. It depends on the program, and its reference pattern, and how that pattern interracts with the cache parameters.

cache is managed by hardware

- Keep recently-accessed blocks of memory in the cache, this exploits temporal locality
 Break memory into aligned blocks (lines), this exploits spatial locality
 transfer data to/from the cache in blocks
 put block into a predefined location, its frame

>>>> simple CACHE DIAGRAM here <<<<

A Simplified Example:

Addresses are 5 bits. Blocks are 4 bytes. Memory is byte addressable. There are 4 blocks in the cache. Assume the cache is empty at the start of the example. (line number) valid tag data (in hex) 00 0 ? 0x?? ?? ?? ??

01	0	?	0x??	??	??	??
10	0	?	0x??	??	??	??
11	0	?	0x??	??	??	??

Memory is small enough that we can make up a complete example. Assume little endian byte numbering.

cor					
(hex)					
aa	bb	сс	dd		
00	11	22	33		
ff	ee	01	23		
45	67	89	0a		
bc	de	f0	1a		
2a	3a	4a	5a		
6a	7a	8a	9a		
1b	2b	3b	4b		
	(1 aa 00 ff 45 bc 2a 6a	(hex) aa bb 00 11 ff ee 45 67 bc de 2a 3a 6a 7a	contents (hex) aa bb cc 00 11 22 ff ee 01 45 67 89 bc de f0 2a 3a 4a 6a 7a 8a 1b 2b 3b		

(1)

First memory reference is to the byte at address 01101.

The address is broken into 3 fields: tag line number byte within block 0 11 01

On line 11, the block is marked as invalid, therefore we have a cache MISS.

The block that address 01101 belongs to (4 bytes starting at address 01100) is brought into the cache, and the valid bit is set.

(line number)	valid	tag	data	(ir	n he	ex)
00	0	?	0x??	??	??	??
01	0	?	0x??	??	??	??
10	0	?	0x??	??	??	??
11	1	0	0x45	67	89	0a

And, now the data requested can be supplied to the processor. It is the value 0x89.

(2) Second memory reference is to the byte at address 01010. The address is broken into 3 fields: tag line number byte within block 0 10 10 On line 10, the block is marked as invalid, therefore we have a cache MISS. The block that address 01010 belongs to (4 bytes starting at address 01000) is brought into the cache, and the valid bit is set. (line number) valid tag data (in hex) 0x?? ?? ?? ?? 00 0 ? 01 0x?? ?? ?? ?? 0 ? 10 0xff ee 01 23 1 0

11 1 0 0x45 67 89 0a And, now the data requested can be supplied to the processor. It is the value 0xee. (3)Third memory reference is to the byte at address 01111. The address is broken into 3 fields: byte within block tag line number 0 11 11 This line within the cache has its valid bit set, so there is a block (from memory) in the cache. BUT, is it the block that we want? The tag of the desired byte is checked against the tag of the block currently in the cache. They match, and therefore we have a HIT. The value 0x45 (byte 11 within the block) is supplied to the processor. (4) Fourth memory reference is to the byte at address 11010. The address is broken into 3 fields: line number byte within block taq 1 10 10 This line within the cache has its valid bit set, so there is a block (from memory) in the cache. BUT, is it the block that we want? The tag of the desired byte is checked against the tag of the block currently in the cache. They do NOT match. Therefore, the block currently in the cache is the wrong one. It will be overwritten with the block (from memory) that we now do want. (line number) valid tag data (in hex) 0x?? ?? ?? ?? 00 0 ? 01 0 ? 0x?? ?? ?? ?? 10 1 1 0x6a 7a 8a 9a 11 0x45 67 89 0a 1 0 The value 0x7a (byte 10 within the block) is supplied to the processor. (5) Fifth memory reference is to the byte at address 11011. The address is broken into 3 fields: line number byte within block taq 10 11 1 This line within the cache has its valid bit set, so there is a block (from memory) in the cache. BUT, is it the block that we want? The tag of the desired byte is checked against the tag of the block currently in the cache. They match, and therefore we have a HIT.

The value 0x6a (byte 11 within the block) is supplied to

the processor.

Often cache: instruction cache 1 cycle data cache 1 cycle main memory 20 cycles Performance for data references w/ miss ratio 0.02 (2% misses) mean access time = cache-access + miss-ratio * memory-access * 1 + 0.02 20 = 1.4 Typical cache size is 64K byte given a 64Mbyte memory 20 times faster 1/1000 the capacity often contains 98% of the references

Remember:

recently accessed blocks are in the cache (temporal locality) the cache is smaller than main memory, so not all blocks are in the cache. blocks are larger than 1 word (spatial locality)

This idea of exploiting locality is (can be) done at many levels. Implement a hierarchical memory system:

smallest, fastest, most expensive memory	(registers)
relatively small, fast, expensive memory	(CACHE)
large, fast as possible, cheaper memory	(main memory)
largest, slowest, cheapest (per bit) memory	(disk)

registers are managed/assigned by compiler or asm. lang programmer cache is managed/assigned by hardware or partially by OS main memory is managed/assigned by OS

disk managed by OS

- Programmer's model: one instruction is fetched and executed at a time.
- Computer architect's model: The effect of a program's execution are given by the programmer's model. But, implementation may be different.

To make execution of programs faster, we attempt to exploit PARALLELISM: doing more than one thing at one time. program level parallelism: Have one program run parts of itself on more than one computer. The different parts occasionally synch up (if needed), but they run at the same time. instruction level parallelism (ILP): Have more than one instruction within a single program executing at the same time. PIPELINING (ILP) ----concept _____ A task is broken down into steps. Assume that there are N steps, each takes the same amount of time. (Mark Hill's) EXAMPLE: car wash steps: P -- prep W -- wash R -- rinse D -- dry X -- wax assume each step takes 1 time unit time to wash 1 car (red) = 5 time units time to wash 3 cars (red, green, blue) = 15 time units which car time units 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 PWRDX red Ρ WRDX green blue PWRDX a PIPELINE overlaps the steps time units which car 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 P W R D X red green ΡW R D Х blue Ρ WRD Х PWRDX yellow etc. IT STILL TAKES 5 TIME UNITS TO WASH 1 CAR, BUT THE RATE OF CAR WASHES GOES UP! Pipelining can be done in computer hardware. 2-stage pipeline _____ steps: F -- instruction fetch E -- instruction execute (everything else)

which instruction time units

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 . . . F 1 Ε 2 \mathbf{F} Ε 3 F Е 4 F E time for 1 instruction = 2 time units (INSTRUCTION LATENCY) rate of instruction execution = pipeline depth * (1 / time for) 1 instruction (INSTRUCTION THROUGHPUT) 2 * (1 / 2) = 1 per time unit = 5-stage pipeline _____ a popular pipelined implementation, that works really well for teaching about pipelines and also for load/store architectures Its application to the Pentium would be problematic. steps: IF -- instruction fetch D -- instruction decode OA -- operand access OP -- ALU operation (can be effective address calculation) R -- store results which time units instruction 1 2 3 4 5 7 8 . . . 6 1 IF D OA OP R 2 OA OP R IF D 3 IF D ΟA OP R INSTRUCTION LATENCY = 5 time units INSTRUCTION THROUGHPUT = 5 * (1 / 5) = 1 instruction per time unit unfortunately, pipelining introduces other difficulties. . . data dependencies _____ suppose we have the following code: mov EAX, data1 add EBX, EAX the data moved (loaded into a register) doesn't get written to EAX until R, but the add instruction wants to get the data out of EAX it its D stage. . . which time units instruction 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 . . . 1

mov		IF	D	OA	OP	R ^										
add			IF	D ^	OA		R									
	the s. (Also											line. n the	pipe	.)		
whic instruct mov		1	2	unit 3 OA	4	-	6	7	8	•						
add			IF	D ^		D	OA (pipe		R st	all	Ling)				
	TA DEP crease		NCY	(als	o ca	lleo	da H.	AZAR	D)	caı	ises	perf	orman	ce to	0	
more of	n data	dep	ende	encie	s -											
	After xample					of d	data	is n	eed	led	bef	ore i	t has	bee	n writ	tten
	n for o actice														in	
				Read Writ	•											
	NOTE: there is no difficulty implementing a 2-stage pipeline due to DATA dependencies!															
control dependencies																
what happens to a pipeline in the case of control instructions? PENTIUM CODE SEQUENCE:																
label1:	jmp inc	labe eax														
which instruct jmp inc	ch tion	t 1	2	OA D	4 OP OA	R ^ OP		hang	ed	heı	ce)					
	whene we ha			PC c	hang	es	(exce					ere!) upda	te st	ep)		

a)

b)

CONTROL DEPENDENCIES break pipelines. They cause performance to plummet. So, lots of (partial) solutions have been implemented to try to help the situation. Worst case, the pipeline must be stalled such that instructions are going through sequentially. Note that just stalling doesn't really help, since the (potentially) wrong instruction is fetched before it is determined that the previous instruction is a branch. BRANCHES and PIPELINING ------(or, how to minimize the effect of control dependencies on pipelines.) easiest solution (poor performance) Cancel anything (later) in the pipe when a jump is decoded. This works as long as nothing changes the program's state before the cancellation. Then let the branch instruction finish (flush the pipe), and start up again. time units which instruction 1 2 3 4 5 6 78... jmp IF D OA OP R ^ (PC changed here) inc IF ^^ (cancelled) branch Prediction (static or dynamic) add lots of extra hardware to try to help. (static) assume that the branch/jump will not be taken When the decision is made, the hw "knows" if the correct instruction has been partially executed. If the correct instruction is currently in the pipe, let it (and all those after it) continue. Then, there will be NO holes in the pipe. If the incorrect instruction is currently in the pipe, (meaning that the branch/jump was taken), then all instructions currently in the pipe subsequent to the branch must be BACKED OUT. (dynamic) A variation of (a). Have some extra hw that keeps track of which branches have been taken in the recent past. Design the hw to presume that a branch will be taken the same way it was previously. If the guess is wrong, back out as in (a). Question for the advanced student: Which is better, (a) or (b)? Why? NOTE: solution (a) works quite well with currently popular pipeline solutions, because no state information is changed until the very last stage of an instruction. As long as

the last stage hasn't started, backing out is a matter

of stopping the last stage from occuring and getting the PC right.

separate test from branch
 make the conditional test and address calculation
 separate instructions from the one that changes the PC.

This reduces the number of holes in the pipe.

squashing A fancy name for branch prediction that always presumes the branch will be taken, and keeps a copy of the PC that will be needed in the case of backing out.

Amdahl's Law

(Or why the common case matters most)

speedup = new rate / old rate

- = old execution time / new execution time
- We program in some enhancement to part of our program. The fraction of time spent in that part of the code is f. The speedup of that part of the code (f) is S.

(Let an enhancement speedup f fraction of the time by speedup S)

speedup = [(1-f)+f]*old time / (1-f) * old time + f/S * old time

= 1 ______ 1-f + f/S

Examples

	f	S	speedup
	95%	1.10	1.094
	5%	10	1.047
	5%	inf	1.052
lim		1	
			= 1/1-f
S> in	f	1-f + f/S	
	f	speedup	
	1%	1.01	
	2%	1.02	
	5%	1.05	

10%1.1120%1.2550%2.00

This says that we should concentrate on the common case!